

ANIMAL CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE :	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVE	RY (CI)	
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO	:	
AIRS ID#: 0950149 DA	ATE: <u>3/8/2010</u>	ARRIVE: <u>8:55 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>1:20 PM</u>	
FACILITY NAME: G	REENBRIER MEMORY GARI	DENS		
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 3703 W KELLY PARI	K RD		
	APOPKA 32712-513	34		
OWNER/AUTHORIZE	ED REPRESENTATIVE: BA	ARRY GRIMM PHONE	: (407)886-2620	
CONTACT NAME:		PHONE	:	
ENTITLEMENT PERI	OD: 11/1/2009 / 11/1/201 (effective date) (end date)	14		
IN COMPLIAN	N COMPLIANCE STATUS (ICE MINOR Non-COM		T Non-COMPLIANCE	
PART II. TESTING/RI	CORDKEEPING REQUIRE	FMENTS - Pule 62-296 401 F	A C	
PART II: TESTING/RI (check appropria		EMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.	A.C.	
(check ☑ appropria 1. Were there any ol	te box(es)) bjectionable odor(s) detected?		Yes	⊠ No
 (check appropria Were there any ol Was a visible emi 62-297, F.A.C.)? 	te box(es)) bjectionable odor(s) detected? issions test conducted during thi	is site visit according to EPA Me		⊠ No
 (check ☑ appropria Were there any ol Was a visible eminima 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demonstrate 	te box(es)) bjectionable odor(s) detected? issions test conducted during the astrate individual source compli	is site visit according to EPA Me	thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter Sions test conducted 60 Yes Yes Yes	
 (check ☑ appropria Were there any ol Was a visible emi 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demor days prior to the 62-296.401(6)(j), 	bjectionable odor(s) detected? issions test conducted during thi nstrate individual source compli AGP Notification form submiss , F.A.C.)	is site visit according to EPA Me ance, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to e	thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Anniversary date? (Rule Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Ye	
 (check ☑ appropria Were there any of Was a visible emit 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demort days prior to the 62-296.401(6)(j), In order to demort completed within 	bjectionable odor(s) detected? issions test conducted during this instrate individual source compliants AGP Notification form submiss F.A.C.) instrate individual source compliants of the AGP Notification form submiss of the AGP Notification for	is site visit according to EPA Me ance, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to e ance were the remaining applicatification form submission? (Rule	thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter Yes Yes Yes Sions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? (Rule Yes Yes Sions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? (Rule Yes Sions test conducted 60 Fig. 1. Since I in the standards testing Since I in the standards in the standards testing Since I in the standards in the standards testing Since I in the standards in the	□ No
 (check ☑ appropria Were there any of Was a visible emit 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demort days prior to the 62-296.401(6)(j), In order to demort completed within a) Carbon Monot volume, dry basis 	bjectionable odor(s) detected? issions test conducted during this astrate individual source compliants. F.A.C.) istrate individual source compliants of days prior to the AGP Notification form submiss of the AGP Notification form submiss. AGP Notification form submiss of the AGP Notification form submiss of the AGP Notification forms of the AGP Notification for	is site visit according to EPA Meance, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to eance were the remaining applicabilitication form submission? (Rule release below the requirements of 100 prly average basis and tested according to EPA Meance.	thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter Yes Yes Yes Sions test conducted 60 Each anniversary date? (Rule Yes Sions test conducted for the chapter of the	No No No
 (check ☑ appropria Were there any of Was a visible emit 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demort days prior to the acceptance of the demort completed within a) Carbon Monot volume, dry basis 10 (Ref.: Chapter b) Oxygen test p 	bjectionable odor(s) detected? issions test conducted during this astrate individual source compliants. AGP Notification form submiss. F.A.C.)	is site visit according to EPA Meance, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to eance were the remaining applicate affication form submission? (Rule relations below the requirements of 100 prily average basis and tested according to the following th	thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter Yes Yes Sions test conducted 60 Each anniversary date? (Rule Sole standards testing 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) Yes Solarts per million by reding to EPA Method A.C.)?	No No
 (check ☑ appropria Were there any of Was a visible emit 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demort days prior to the 62-296.401(6)(j), In order to demort completed within a) Carbon Monot volume, dry basis 10 (Ref.: Chapter b) Oxygen test p c) Particulate ma 	bjectionable odor(s) detected? issions test conducted during this instrate individual source compliance. AGP Notification form submiss in F.A.C.) instrate individual source compliance for a compliance of the AGP Notification for a corrected to 7% O ₂ on an hour for a corrected to 7% O ₂ on an hour for a corrected to 7% O ₂ on an hour for a corrected to 7% O ₃ on a corrected to 7% O ₄ on an hour for a corrected to 7% O ₅ on an hour for a corrected to 7% O ₆ on an hour for a corrected to 7% O ₇ on an hour for a corrected to 7% O ₈ on a corrected	ance, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to earned where the remaining applicate application form submission? (Rule relation form submission? (Rule relation) and the requirements of 100 prily average basis and tested accordance without 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A. equal to or below the requirements	thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter Yes Yes Yes Sions test conducted 60 Each anniversary date? (Rule Yes Sole standards testing 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) Yes Solarts per million by rding to EPA Method Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No No No
 (check ☑ appropria Were there any ol Was a visible eministic 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demonst days prior to the acceptance of the accep	bjectionable odor(s) detected? issions test conducted during this matrate individual source compliants. AGP Notification form submiss of F.A.C.) instrate individual source compliants of the AGP Notification form submiss of F.A.C.)	is site visit according to EPA Me ance, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to eance were the remaining applicatification form submission? (Rule relation below the requirements of 100 prly average basis and tested according to 7% O ₂ and tested according to	thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter Sions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? (Rule Sole standards testing 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) Yes Starts per million by Earting to EPA Method A.C.)?	No No No No
(check ☑ appropria 1. Were there any ol 2. Was a visible emi 62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demort days prior to the 62-296.401(6)(j), 4. In order to demort completed within a) Carbon Mono volume, dry basis 10 (Ref.: Chapter b) Oxygen test p c) Particulate mat dry standard cubi (Ref.: Chapter62- 5. Was all emissions capacity?	bjectionable odor(s) detected? issions test conducted during this instrate individual source compliants. AGP Notification form submiss. F.A.C.)	is site visit according to EPA Meance, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to eance were the remaining applicate affication form submission? (Rule relation below the requirements of 100 party average basis and tested according to 100 party average basis ave	thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter Yes Yes Sions test conducted 60 each anniversary date? (Rule Yes Ole standards testing 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) Yes Ourts per million by reding to EPA Method A.C.)?	No No No No No No No No
(check ☑ appropria 1. Were there any of 2. Was a visible emical of 62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demore days prior to the 62-296.401(6)(j), 4. In order to demore completed within a) Carbon Monovolume, dry basis 10 (Ref.: Chapter b) Oxygen test p c) Particulate mandry standard cubic (Ref.: Chapter 62-5. Was all emissions capacity?	bjectionable odor(s) detected? issions test conducted during this instrate individual source compliance demonstrate individual source compliance demonstrated by subtent notified at least 15 days prior	is site visit according to EPA Me ance, was an annual visible emission, and within 60 days prior to eance were the remaining applicatification form submission? (Rule relation below the requirements of 100 prly average basis and tested according to 7% O ₂ and tested according to	thod 9 (Ref.: Chapter	No No No No No No No No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
1. Is there Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to record to		
primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber co	mbustion	zone in
accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?		☐ No
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?	\boxtimes Yes	☐ No
b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the rec	ording o	f such
measurements, maintenance, reports and records?		
1) All measurements (including CEMS)	⊠Yes	☐ No
2) Monitoring device	⊠Yes	☐ No
3) Performance Testing Measurements	Yes	☐ No
4) CEMS Performance Evaluation		No No
5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks	Yes	□ No
6) Adjustments	Yes	□ No
7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices		□ No
8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	Yes	□ No
2. Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one ☑ box)		
a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4)		
b) ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4)		
3. If constructed BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the:		
a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F ?	□Yes	□ No
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F	105	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	Yes	□ No
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature	105	
is equal to or greater than 1400°F?	Yes	□ No
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to	105	
record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the		
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	□ No
4. If constructed ON or AFTER August 30, 1989 is the:	1 cs	
a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence time.	10	
(a) Volume in the secondary combustion zone surficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence this	⊠Yes	□ No
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F		☐ 1 10
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	$\nabla \mathbf{v}_{\alpha c}$	□No
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the cremation		L NO
process begins in the primary chamber?		□ No
	ĭ i es	∐ No
5. Are appropriate leak-proof containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated	⊠x z	□ M.
plastics used during the cremation of dead animals?	ĭ Y es	∐ No
a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that the	7	
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of		
their use and for at least two years after their use?	⊠Yes	∐ No
b) If plastic bags are used for the cremation of animals are they non-chlorinated and no less than 3 mils	<u> </u>	
thick?	⊠Yes	∐ No
c) Are dead animals, which have been used for medical or commercial experimentation, or other		- -
materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, F.A.C.), incinerated at this location?	∐Yes	⊠ No
6. During this review period, was the largest batch load cremated 500 pounds per hour or less?	⊠Yes	∐ No
7. Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training program?	Yes	☐ No
a) Are copies of the training certificates all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the duration		_
of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment?	□Yes	☐ No

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. A. New or Modified Process Equipment							
 Since the last inspection has there been installation of any new process equipment? alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?		☐Yes☐Yes☐Yes☐Yes☐Yes☐Yes☐Yes☐Yes☐Yes☐Yes	No No No No No No No No				
Norma Ali & Bill Rhodes	3/8/10						
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection						
	3/8/2011						
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspectio	n					
COMMENTS: The annual visible emission audit and records review of Greenbrier of Central Florida, Inc. were conducted on March 8, 2010. Norma Ali and Bill Rhodes from Orange County EPD, met with Mr. Barry Grimm, President of Greenbrier of Central Florida, and Stephen Web, consultant from Coastal Air Consulting, Inc., conducted the visible emission test. Mr. Grimm was present for the records review. A visual emissions audit was conducted on six (6) of the facility's crematories. The six (6) crematories included a Matthews Cremation Division Model IEB-50 (new Unit #5), an IEB Series 20, which replaced the IEE Power-Pak Jr. (EU001), an IEE Super Power-Pak (EU004), an IEE Power-Pak I (EU002), and two IEE Power Pak II (EU003 + EU006). On this date, inspector Bill Rhodes, used the Fluke 714 Meter to verify and compare the temperature readings from the thermocouple for each one of the units' charts and digital displays. The numbers were very close. The differences were not significant. All emission units were operating at or above the required temperature of 1,600 degrees Fahrenheit, on the secondary chamber as required by current permit 0950149-011-AG.							
Temperature charts for all units from the audit were provided by Mr. Grimm. The opacity observed for five (5) of the six crematories was 0%. Opacity observed for Unit #4 was 3.54% for the worst 6-minute average. No objectionable odors were noticed during the visible emissions audit.							
The charts from all units and the maintenance log were provided for review, from January 2009 to March 2010. All readings were in compliance.							
Facility appeared to be in compliance at the time of inspection.							